

☐ No invasive/noxious weeds present

STATE OF MINNESOTA RIM CONSERVATION EASEMENT

ENHANCEMENT CAPACITY SURVEY

Landowner Name	Easement ID	#	County								
	SWCD Staff		D	Date of Survey							
Please fill in the following questions for each Enhancement Unit.											
Enhancement Unit Description e.g. All RR-2 acres, Practice Areas 3 and		O acres, entire easement, etc.		Goal Cover Type ☐ Grassland ☐ Woodland	e □ Wetland □ Other						
1. Is the Enhancement Unit ac	cessible to large equip	oment? 🗆 Yes [□No								
2. Check the response that more Enhancement Unit (aligning 0-10 11-20 21	·	• •	ly how r	many native spec	ies are on the						
3. Are there any signs of erosic If yes, approximately hour If yes, is there >6% slop	ow much bare ground		posed so	oil) □ Yes □ No							
4. Check all invasive species found within the Enhancement Unit from the list below. Only list other invasive species if they pose a risk to the integrity of the plant community. Note: Enhancement Units with over 50% areal cover of species with the asterisk (*) symbol are not feasible for enhancement.											
Forbs ☐ Birdsfoot Trefoil (Lotus cornic) ☐ Bull Thistle (Cirsium vulgare) ☐ Canada Thistle (Cirsium arven) ☐ Common Tansy (Tanacetum v) ☐ Creeping Charlie (Glechoma g) ☐ Crown Vetch (Securrigera van) ☐ Garlic Mustard (Alliaria petiol) ☐ Leafy Spurge (Euphorbia esulo) ☐ Plumeless Thistle (Carduus ac) ☐ Spotted Knapweed (Centaure) ☐ Sweet Clover (Melitotus alba; ☐ Wild Parsnip (Pastinaca sativo) ☐ Other Vines ☐ Round Leaf Bittersweet (Celas) ☐ Swallowort (Cynanchum louis) ☐ Other	ulgare) ulgare) uederacea) ia) ute) ulate) ullatum) unthoides) a stoebe) M. officinalis) ul	☐ Kentucky E☐ Johnson G☐ Reed Cana☐ Smooth Br☐ Other Woody Plant☐ Black Locu☐ Common E☐ Cottonwood☐ Glossy Bud☐ Japanese F☐ Red Cedar☐ Red-Osier☐ Russian Ol☐ Siberian El	Bluegrass rass (Sor rry Grass rome (Br ss sst (Robir Buckthor od (Popu ckthorn (Honeysud (Juniper Dogwoo ive (Elae m (Ulmu	Miscanthus sacches (Poa pratensis) rghum halepense) (Phalaris arundine omus inermis) mia pseudoacacia) m (Rhamnus cathorius deltoides) Frangula alnus) ckle (Lonicera japo cus virginiana) d (Cornus sericea) ragnus angustifolicus pumila) pulus tremuloides	acea)* artica)* onica)						

5.	Select the areal cover (%) of the Enhancement Unit that best represents each of the following functional groups.												
a. b. c.	es/Shrubs 0 – 25% 25 – 50% 50 – 75% 75 – 100%	Forbs a. 0 – 25% b. 25 – 50 c. 50 – 75 d. 75 – 10	a	es/Rushes 0 – 25% 25 – 50% 50 – 75% 75 – 100%	a. b. c.	-Season Grasses 0 – 25% 25 – 50% 50 – 75% 75 – 100%	a. b. c.	m-Season Gr 0 – 25% 25 – 50% 50 – 75% 75 – 100%	asses				
6.	Has the Enhancement Unit ever been used for row crop agriculture? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Unsure												
7.	Has there ever been managed haying or grazing within the Enhancement Unit? \Box Yes \Box No \Box Unsure If yes, when was it last hayed/grazed?												
8.	Has there ever been prescribed fire within the Enhancement Unit? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Unsure												
	If yes, when w	as it last bur	ned?										
9.	Has the Enhancement Unit been seeded outside of the initial restoration activities at time of acquisition? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Unsure												
	If yes, attach any relevant documentation (e.g., seed tags, invoices, etc.)												
10.	Does the Enhancement Unit display signs of an affected buffer zone (e.g., wilting/distressed vegetation within 30 feet of the edge of agricultural fields)? \square Yes \square No												
11.	11. Are hydric and/or floodplain soils present within the Enhancement Unit? \Box Yes \Box No												
12.	Check all propo	sed manage	ement action	s for enhancem	ent. (Refer to Enhanceme	ent G	uides)					
	☐ Woody I	Removal	☐ Erosion	Control	□ Inv	asive Species Mana	gem	ent					
	□ Seeding □ Other		☐ Prescril	oed Fire	□ Ma	anaged Haying/Graz	ing						
			_			le general notes. ment, please list the	spe	cies below.					

If there are feasibility concerns/risk factors for the selected management action(s), explain below.